



Introduction to Artificial Lift



What are your Artificial Lift challenges?

- Gassy oil
- Heavy/viscous oil
- Sandy oil
- High water cut
- Dewatering gas wells
- Deep
- Hot
- Low fluid levels
- Offshore
- Uncertainty
- Production optimization











Increasing demand in the east





The shift toward lower volume mature wells





The case for Production Optimization Major producer operating 26,000 wells

| | Plunger Wells | 16,000 | | |
|------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------|------------------------------------|
| | PCP Wells | 4,000 | | |
| | ESP Wells | 3,000 | | |
| PCP | Rod Lift Wells | 1,000 | | |
| | Other / Natural Flowing Wells | 5 2,000 | | |
| | Gas/Oil Meters | 33,000 | \geq | Integrated Field Management: |
| | RTU/PLC Automation | 24,000 | | 5 billion data sets every 24 hours |
| | Oil / Water Production Tanks | 12,000 | | |
| PL D | Compressors | 3,000 | | |
| GL | Water Meters | 6,000 | | |

.: A field management system is required for production optimziation.



The increasing role of unconventional oil





The shift from vertical to horizontal wells

Steam Assisted Gravity Drainage (SAGD) to mobilize heavy oil



Reaching hydrocarbons in shale





Global oil demand shifting to the east



Increasing focus on production technologies







Find and produce *more* oil and gas assets



Maximize *productivity* of existing assets

Naturally Flowing versus Artificial Lifted Oil Wells







Source: World Oil, Feb 2012

"Based on the states for which the World Oil was able to obtain a breakout of flowing wells versus those on artificial lift, the percentage of U.S. oil wells produced by artificial lift is staying steady at about 95%. That ratio has remained fairly constant throughout the past 10 years."





¹From World Oil, February, 2012.



What liquids are being lifted?



Ref: Produced Water Volumes and Management Practices in the United States (2007), Argonne National Laboratory; Sept, 2009



1. To raise fluids to the surface when:

 $P_{Reservoir} < P_{Hydrostatic} + P_{line}$

2. To increase the production rate of flowing wells by reducing the producing bottom hole pressure (PBHP = $P_H + P_L$)

Solutions:

- A. Reduce hydrostatic head pressure
- B. Reduce the amount of fluid lifted per cycle
- C. Reduce line back-pressure
- D. Add Energy





When the pressure of the liquid column keeps gas from entering the well:





Lift Technologies by Energy Source



Formation Pressure

Mechanical Assist

© 2012 Weatherford. All rights reserved.

Lift Technology by Lift Capacity (BPD)





Artificial Lift Market Share by Type (based on dollars spent)



From Spears Oilfield Market Report, Oct, 2011



- Maximum production?
- Flexibility in production rates?
- Lowest purchase cost?
- Lowest operating cost? (Efficiency, consumables)
- Reliability and up-time (Mean-Time-Between-Failures)
- Least Energy Consumption? (Best Efficiency?)
- Minimum noise and visual impact?
- Minimum footprint? (Offshore)



ALS Application Screening Values This is just a starting point!

| | Gas Lift | Foam Lift | Plunger | Rod Lift | РСР | ESP | Hyd Jet | Hyd Piston |
|-------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Max Depth | 18,000 ft <i>5,486 m</i> | 22,000 ft 6,705 m | 19,000 ft <i>5,791 m</i> | 16,000 ft <i>4,878 m</i> | 8,600 ft 2,621 m | 15,000 ft <i>4,572 m</i> | 20,000 ft <i>6,100 m</i> | 17,000 ft <i>5,182 m</i> |
| Max Volume | 75,000 bpd 12,000 M ³ /D | 500 bpd 80 M³/D | 200 bpd 32 M³/D | 6,000 bpd 950 M³/D | 5,000 bpd 790 M³/D | 60,000 bpd 9,500 M³/D | 35,000 5,560 M³/D | 8,000 bpd 1,270 M³/D |
| Max Temp | 450°F 232°C | 400°F 204°C | 550°F 288°C | 550°F 288°C | 250°F 121°C | 482°F 250°C | 550°F 288°C | 550°F 288°C |
| Corrosion Handling | Good to excellent | Excellent | Excellent | Good to Excellent | Fair | Good | Excellent | Good |
| Gas Handling | Excellent | Excellent | Excellent | Fair to good | Good | Fair | Good | Fair |
| Solids Handling | Good | Good | Fair | Fair to good | Excellent | sand<40ppm | Good | Fair |
| Fluid Gravity (°API) | >15° | >8° | >15° | >8° | 8° <api<40°< td=""><td>Viscosity <400 cp</td><td>≥6°</td><td>>8°</td></api<40°<> | Viscosity <400 cp | ≥6 ° | >8° |
| Servicing | Wireline or workover rig | Capillary unit | Wellhead catcher or wireline | Workover or pulling rig | Wireline or workover rig Hydraulic | | or wireline | |
| Prime Mover | Compressor | Well natu | ral energy | Gas or electric | | Electric | Gas or electric | |
| Offshore | Excellent | Good | N/A | Limited | Limited | Excellent | Excellent | Good |
| System Efficiency | 10% to 30% | N/A | N/A | 45% to 60% | 50% to 75% | 35% to 60% | 10% to 30% | 45% to 55% |

ALS Technology Application Process

- 1. Understand and predict reservoir potential performance.
- 2. Establish target production levels and conditions.
- **3.** Eliminate technically infeasible lift technologies.
 - Required performance
 - Support infrastructure (power, skill base, etc.)

4. Economic evaluation

- Acquisition, installation, & training cost
- Operating cost
- Reliability
- Repair/replacement



Artificial Lift Design Software

| Lift Technology | Software |
|------------------------|---|
| Reciprocating Rod Lift | Rod Star, SROD, XROD, QROD, others, WFT csBeamDesign |
| PC Pump | CFER PC Pump, Prosper, WFT proprietary |
| Gas Lift | Well Evaluation Model (WEM), VALCAL, Valve Performance Clearinghouse (VPC), Prosper, PIPESIM, Dynalift, WellFlo |
| Hydraulic Lift | Guiberson Piston Pump, SNAP, Prosper, JEMS |
| ESP | Dwight's SubPUMP, WEM, Prosper, PIPESIM, supplier proprietary, Borets-WFT proprietary |
| Capillary/Plunger Lift | WEM, WFT proprietary |

Wellflo, Dynalift, JEMS and csBeamDesign are trademarks of Weatherford. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.



Managing production of hydrocarbons <u>as things change</u> <u>over time</u>

- Surveillance and measurement What is happening?
- Analysis Why is it happening?
- Design of solutions How can performance be improved?
- Asset management When and where?
- Reporting KPI's and feedback



SPE study group surveyed PO literature, June, 2010¹:

- Production Improvements = 3% to 20% (avg = 3,000 BPD)
- CAPEX savings = \$42,000 to \$345,000 (avg = \$200,000)
- Value of PO to Shell² from *increased* production & reduced costs:
- 70,000 BPD
- \$5 billion accumulated value



¹Ref: http://www.spegcs.org/attachments/studygroups/4/DE%20Workshop%20Literature%20Review%20Slides.pdf ²Cumulative value, SPE#128245, March, 2010.





Key Concepts for Understanding ALS

Inflow Performance Relationship (IPR) Gas Lock Cavitation Pump Turndown Ratio

Formation Pressure = *f*{distance from well}



© 2012 Weatherford. All rights reserved.

Productivity Index (PI) = <u>Flow Rate</u> Drawdown



Inflow Performance Relation (IPR)





Typical IPR versus Reservoir Drive System















Discharge?





 $\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{L}} < \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{P}} \leq \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{H}}$



Gas in pump expands, but

P_L < P_P so no flow. $\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{L}} < \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{P}} < \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{H}} \qquad \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{L}} < \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{P}} \le \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{H}}$

Gas in pump compresses, but

P_P < P_H so no flow.



The swept volume in the pump is occupied by gas. No fluid is pumped as the pump strokes:

<u>Downstroke</u>

The gas compresses but does not have enough pressure to open the traveling valve.

<u>Upstroke</u>

The gas decompresses, but it has higher pressure than the reservoir so the standing valve remains closed.

RESULT: No fluid enters or leaves the pump.





- 1. Low pressure gas bubbles form in liquids:
 - When a pump intake is starved for liquid
 - When localized fluid pressure drops below the vapor pressure of gas in solution
 - When existing gas bubbles are ingested into pumps
- 2. Higher pressure in the surrounding fluids causes the gas bubble to implode violently.
 - Shock waves
 - Micro-jets impact surrounding fluids and surfaces





Cavitation Sequence





Cavitation Shock Wave

Shock Wave

Imploding Bubbles



Cavitation Micro-Jet





Cavitation Damage Centrifugal Pump Impeller Stage





Turndown ratio is a measure of a pump's capacity to change production volume:

Turndown Ratio = <u>Maximum Volume</u> Minimum Volume

For example, a pump capable of 10 to 50 BPD would have a turndown ratio of **5**:

Turndown Ratio = $\frac{50 \text{ BPD}}{10 \text{ BPD}} = 5$

Pumps with high turndown ratios are helpful when production volumes are expected to vary:



Turndown ratio is a measure of a pump's capacity to change production volume:

Turndown Ratio = <u>Maximum Volume</u> Minimum Volume

For example, a pump capable of 10 to 50 CuM/D would have a turndown ratio of **5**:

Turndown Ratio = $\frac{50 \text{ CuM/D}}{10 \text{ CuM/D}}$ = 5

Pumps with high turndown ratios are helpful when production volumes are expected to vary:



